



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/649,529 08/27/2003 Jack C. White TGXX.-1002USDIV3 5445 **EXAMINER** 7590 10/21/2004 23859 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. BEHREND, HARVEY E **SUITE 1000** PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915 3641 DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/649,529	WHITE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harvey E. Behrend	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/10/0 Y 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) $\frac{94-34}{}$ is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>94-34</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/1.2/04		ate ratent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,529

Art Unit: 3641

1. Applicants election without traverse in the 6/10/04 response, of specie II (the embodiment of Fig. 3) is acknowledged.

Applicant lists claims 24-34 as readable on the elected species.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the original disclosure for reciting that the recesses are sized to provide a <u>slip fit</u> around a portion of a device.

4. Claims 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague, indefinite and incomplete, particularly as the claims improperly define one unknown (e.g. the size of the recesses) in terms of another unknown (e.g. the size, shape, etc. of a device containing radioactive material or indeed, <u>any</u> device or object such as a paper clip, pencil, a tube of lipstick, etc., since

一年 一年 以前の東京教師を行っている。 日本の事

Application/Control Number: 10/649,529

Art Unit: 3641

clearly, one could place in the recess, <u>any</u> object that would fit therein, including objects that are <u>smaller</u> than the recess). The metes and bounds of the claims are hence undefined.

The claims do not provide proper antecedent basis for all terms present (e.g. see the term "said at least one device "in claims 30 and 34).

The "such that" phrases (e.g. see claim 33) render the claim vague and indefinite as to exactly what is being claimed.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

おからははないます。 かなか 一切をはなれておいれてきないとうなるないないので

中午一日之一時間時日母一門我們不由一個一時日本時一十二日

いれる あただん 不管機会 政治を経過なる はるれ、なくまる もっ

Art Unit: 3641

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Reich.

The claimed container reads on the shipping container of Reich (col. 11 lines 6-20) with the claimed first portion reading on the bottom portion of the metal brief case type container; the claimed second portion reads on the bottom foam rubber pad with holes, each of which are shaped to accept a radiopharmaceutical pig vertically or, on its side. (see applicants claim 33); the claimed film reads on the top rubber pad which tends to resist movement of the radiopharmaceutical pigs; the claimed structure for closing (claim 32) reads on the cover of the metal brief case type container of Reich.

The claimed radiation shielding materials reads on the metal brief case type container and the rubber pads (note that any material will function as a shield for different forms of radiation, at least to a certain extent).

While the claimed language of a "friction fit" and a "slip fit" are considered as reading on the statement in said col. 11 lines 6-20 of Reich that the holes are each shaped to receive or hold a radiopharmaceutical pig, it is pointed out that these claim limitations are actually no more than statements of intended or desired use.

Note that these statements as well as the other recited statements of intended or desired use, do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See <u>In re Pearson</u>, 181 USPQ 641; <u>In re Yanush</u>, 177 USPQ 705; <u>In re</u>

Art Unit: 3641

<u>Finsterwalder</u>, 168 USPQ 530; <u>In re Casey</u>, 152 USPQ 235; <u>In re Otto</u>, 136 USPQ 458; <u>Ex parte Masham</u>, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647.

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. *In re Danly*, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon, does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

- 8. The other references cited further illustrate pertinent art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harvey Behrend whose telephone number is (703) 305-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

HARVEY E. BEHREND PRIMARY EXAMINER 一個なるとなる。 あいからしょ